IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 14080 of 2004

Between:

B. Vimala Devi D/o Sri B. Koteswara Rao, R/o D. NO. 7-1-23, Anjaneya Nagar, Opp: Tenali II-Town Police Station,
Gangahammapet, Tenali, Guntur District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.State of A.P., rep by Secretary, Education Department,

(Higher Education), Secretariat, Hyderabad
2.Commissioner of Collegiate Education, Government of A.P.,

Hyderabad
3.R.B.V.R.R. Women's college, rep by Secretary and

Correspondent, Narayanguda, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or order or direction especially one in the nature of Mandamus; (i) declare the action of the respondents in not appointing the petitioner to the post of Lecturer in Political Sciences in the 3rd respondent college on the basis of Selections held on 27-7-2003 as illegal, arbitrary and offends Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India; (ii) direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as lecturer in Political Sciences in the 3rd respondent college on the basis of her selection to the said post on the basis of the selections held to the said posts on 27-7-2003;

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.JOGAIAH SARMA

Counsel for the Respondents 1 & 2: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for the respondent No.3: None appeared

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner is an un-employee. She appeared for selection before the 3rd respondent for the post of Lecturer in Political Science, on 27.07.2003 as a Scheduled Caste candidate. The petitioner contends that the 3rd respondent orally informed her that she was selected and the proceedings would be sent in course of time. She contends that though she is waiting since one year, no communication has been given to her. Therefore, it's leading to her anxiety, whether she gets appointment or not. She, therefore, approached this Court seeking direction to the 3rd respondent to finalize the selection and pass appropriate orders.

In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, the 3rd respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders as per rules regarding the appointment of a Lecturer in pursuance of the interview conducted on 27.07.2003, within two months and communicate the same to the petitioner. In the event of recommendations, if any, made by the 3rd respondent to the respondents 1 and 2, the respondents 1 and 2 shall pass appropriate orders on such recommendation.

The Writ petition is accordingly disposed of at the admission stage.

10th AUGUST 2004

Note: CC in two days

B/O. BSS/SVS

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Secretary, State of A.P., Education Department,

(Higher Education), Secretariat, Hyderabad
2.Commissioner of Collegiate Education, Government of A.P.,

Hyderabad
3. Secretary and Correspondent**,** R.B.V.R.R. Women's college,

Narayanguda, Hyderabad

4.2CCs to G.P. for Higher Education, High Court buildings

Hyderabad (OUT)

2.2CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**TRT**}*